

On the Equivalence of Brans-Dicke and Einstein Theories with Static Black Holes

Eloy Ayón

*Grupo de Física Teórica, Centro de Matemática y Física Teórica, ICIMAF
Calle E # 309, esq. a 15, CP 10400, Ciudad Habana, Cuba*

Abstract

A “no-hair” theorem for the Brans-Dicke field, in the domain of outer communications of a static black hole, is established, when the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, T , is a definite-sign scalar. This implies that Brans-Dicke theory of gravitation, for matter with T of definite sign, is equivalent to Einstein gravity with the constraint $T = 0$.

The Brans-Dicke theory of gravitation is an attempt to improve General Relativity from the standpoint of Mach principle [1,2]. It is not a completely geometrical theory since gravitational effects are described not only by the metric but also by a scalar field Ψ , which plays a role analogous to the reciprocal of the local gravitational constant, $\Psi \sim 1/G$. However, in the presence of stationary black holes, it was conjectured [3] the equivalence of this theory to Einstein gravity. This fact was established in [4], and independently in [5,6] for static or circular black holes with matter having vanishing trace of the energy-momentum tensor, $T \equiv T_\alpha^\alpha = 0$, *e.g.*, for electromagnetic fields, neutrino fields, conformal scalar fields. More recently, for spherical symmetric static black holes, the equivalence has been showed for scalar models having positive energy [7], and has been rederived for the vacuum case [8].

The aim of this letter is to extend the previous results to more general matter systems in static black holes. In essence, we concentrate our attention in matter for which T has definite sign, *i.e.*, it is non-positive, or non-negative; since the scalar models studied in [7] belong to this class ($T \leq 0$).

The field equations for the Brans-Dicke theory are

$$\Psi G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\omega}{\Psi} (\nabla_\mu \Psi \nabla_\nu \Psi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha \Psi \nabla^\alpha \Psi) + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Psi - g_{\mu\nu} \square \Psi, \quad (1)$$

$$\square \Psi = \frac{1}{2\omega + 3} \frac{8\pi}{c^4} T, \quad (2)$$

plus matter equations, where $\omega \geq 6$ is a coupling constant [1]. From (1) and (2) it follows that the Brans-Dicke-matter system with $\Psi = \text{const.}$ is equivalent to the Einstein-matter system with the constraint $T = 0$. We shall establish a “no-hair” theorem for the Brans-Dicke field Ψ , in the domain of outer communications $\langle\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle\rangle$ of a static black hole, for which T is a definite-sign scalar, *i.e.*, if $T \leq 0$, or $T \geq 0$, then $\Psi = \Psi_o = \text{const.}$, where Ψ_o is the asymptotic value of Ψ .

In a static black hole, the Killing field $\mathbf{k}$ coincides with the null generator of the event horizon $\mathcal{H}^+$ and is time-like and hypersurface orthogonal in all of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$. This, together with simply connectedness of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$ [9], implies the existence of a global coordinates system, (t, x^i) $i = 1, 2, 3$, in $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$ [10], where $\mathbf{k} = \partial/\partial t$ such that the metric can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{g} = -V dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (3)$$

where V and γ are t -independent, γ being positive definite in all of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$, and V being a positive function tending to zero in $\mathcal{H}^+$.

Let us begin first with the case $T \leq 0$. The idea is to assume $\Psi|_{\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle} \neq \Psi_o$, and then to obtain a contradiction. Since $\mathbf{k}$ is time-like in all of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$, the elliptical nature of the Brans-Dicke-matter system guaranties that Ψ , $\mathbf{g}$, and the matter fields are all analytical in appropriate coordinates [11]. If $\Psi|_{\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle} \neq \Psi_o$, using its analyticity, it can be showed [12,13] that if $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o) \neq \emptyset$, then it is a union of countably many 0-dimensional (point-like), 1-dimensional, 2-dimensional, and 3-dimensional analytic sub-manifolds of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$ be the open region bounded by the space-like hypersurface Σ_0 , the space-like hypersurface Σ_1 , corresponding to the values 0 and 1 of t along integral curves of $\mathbf{k}$, and portions of the event horizon $\mathcal{H}^+$, and the spatial infinity i^o respectively. Analyticity of Ψ together with the connectedness of $\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle$ implies that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}} \neq \Psi_o$ if $\Psi|_{\langle\langle\mathcal{J}\rangle\rangle} \neq \Psi_o$. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \Psi_o)$ be a real function defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \Psi_o, \\ \exp(-1/(t - \Psi_o)^2) - 1, & t > \Psi_o. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Such function satisfies

$$f(\Psi_o) = 0, \quad -1 \leq f(t) \leq 0, \quad f'(t)|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Psi_o} \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Multiplying the Brans-Dicke field equation (2) by $f \circ \Psi$ and integrating over $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$, after an integration by parts making use of the fact that $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$ is a measure zero set, and the Gauss theorem, one arrives at

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{V}} f(\Psi) \nabla^\mu \Psi n_\mu d\sigma = \int_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)} (f'(\Psi) \nabla_\mu \Psi \nabla^\mu \Psi + \frac{f(\Psi)}{2\omega + 3} \frac{8\pi}{c^4} T) dv. \quad (6)$$

The boundary integral over Σ_1 cancels out that over Σ_0 at the left hand side of (6), since Σ_1 and Σ_0 are isometric surfaces. The boundary integral over $i^o \cap \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is zero, because $f(\Psi)$ is zero there (5). The boundary integral over $\mathcal{H}^+ \cap \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ vanishes too; since $\mathbf{k}$ coincides with the normal of $\mathcal{H}^+$, $\nabla^\mu \Psi n_\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi = 0$ by staticity of Ψ , and by the bounded behavior of $f(\Psi)$ (5) the integrand vanishes in this region. Since there are no contributions at the left hand side of (6) the volume integral vanishes, thus

$$\int_{\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)} (f'(\Psi) \gamma_{ij} \nabla^i \Psi \nabla^j \Psi + \frac{f(\Psi)}{2\omega + 3} \frac{8\pi}{c^4} T) dv = 0, \quad (7)$$

where the coordinates chosen are the ones of (3). From the properties of f , T , and γ it follows that each term in the integrand above is non-negative, so that (7) is fulfilled only if these terms vanish identically in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$, in particular,

$$f'(\Psi)\gamma_{ij}\nabla^i\Psi\nabla^j\Psi\Big|_{\mathcal{V}\setminus\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)}=0. \quad (8)$$

The analytical function $E \equiv \gamma_{ij}\nabla^i\Psi\nabla^j\Psi$ is identically not vanishing in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$, since this contradicts that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}} \not\equiv \Psi_o$. If E vanishes in some point of $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$, using its analyticity together with $E|_{\mathcal{V}\setminus\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)} \not\equiv 0$, one establishes [12,13] that E vanishes only in a union of countably many 0-dimensional (point-like), 1-dimensional, 2-dimensional, and 3-dimensional analytic sub-manifolds of $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$. Hence, from (8) and the continuity of $f'(\Psi)$ through this lower dimensional sub-manifolds, it follows that $f'(\Psi)$ vanishes identically in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$, that by (4) it requires

$$\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}\setminus\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)} < \Psi_o. \quad (9)$$

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real function defined by

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} -\exp(-1/(\Psi_o - t)^2), & t < \Psi_o, \\ 0, & t \geq \Psi_o. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Since this function satisfies the same properties that $f(t)$, *i.e.*,

$$g(\Psi_o) = 0, \quad -1 \leq g(t) \leq 0, \quad g'(t)|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\Psi_o} \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

it can be concluded, applying the same procedure made to equation (2) with the function f but this time with g , that $g(\Psi)$ vanishes identically in $\mathcal{V} \setminus \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)$. This requires, by (10),

$$\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}\setminus\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_o)} > \Psi_o, \quad (12)$$

arriving at a contradiction with (9), then the desired result $\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}} \equiv \Psi_o \equiv \Psi|_{\llbracket \mathcal{J} \rrbracket}$ follows, provided that T is non-positive.

The same result is achieved when T is non-negative; choosing the functions

$$\hat{f}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp(-1/(\Psi_o - t)^2), & t < \Psi_o, \\ 0, & t \geq \Psi_o, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\hat{g}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \Psi_o, \\ \exp(-1/(t - \Psi_o)^2), & t > \Psi_o. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Finally we conclude, on the base of the above derived results, that Brans-Dicke theory of gravitation, with matter satisfying that T has definite sign, is equivalent to Einstein gravity with the constraint $T = 0$, in presence of static black holes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brans, C.H., Dicke, R.H., *Phys. Rev.*, **124**, 925 (1961).
- [2] Brans, C.H., *Phys. Rev.*, **125**, 2194 (1962).
- [3] Penrose, R., Lecture given at Fifth Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics, Austin, Texas (unpublished 1970).
- [4] Hawking, S.W., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **25**, 152 (1972).
- [5] Bekenstein, J.D., Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (unpublished 1972).
- [6] Bekenstein, J.D., *Phys. Rev.*, **D5**, 2403 (1972).
- [7] Bekenstein, J.D., *Phys. Rev.*, **D51**, R6608 (1995).
- [8] Saa, A., *J. Math. Phys.*, **37**, 2346 (1996).
- [9] Chruściel, P.T., Wald, R.M., *Class. Quant. Grav.*, **11**, L147 (1994).
- [10] Carter, B., “Mathematical Foundations of the Theory of Relativistic Stellar and Black Holes Configurations”, in *Gravitation in Astrophysics (Cargèse Summer School 1986)*, eds. B. Carter, J.B. Hartle (Plenum, New York 1987).
- [11] Müller zum Hagen, H., *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **68**, 199 (1970).
- [12] Müller zum Hagen, H., Robinson, D.C., Seifert, H.J., *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, **4**, 53 (1973).
- [13] Ayón, E., “Staticity *versus* Uniqueness in Non-Rotating Black Holes with Non-Minimally Self-Coupled Scalar Fields”, gr-qc/9606081 (1996).